# Lecture 3

# The ELIMINATION Problem

# Jan C. Willems

University of Leuven, Belgium

**Minicourse ECC 2003** 

Cambridge, UK, September 2, 2003

Lecture 3 The ELIMINATION Problem - p.1/22

# **Problematique**

Develop a theory and algorithms for eliminating latent variables

# Introduction

First principles model  $\rightsquigarrow$  auxiliary, latent variables

e.g.

- interconnection variables
- 'theoretical' latent variables: momenta, potentials, driving noise, ...
- state variables
- **\_** ..



# Given the behavioral eq'ns for the components, *how do those of the interconnected system look like?*

**Recall the definitions:** 

A dynamical system with latent variables =

$$\Sigma_L = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{W}, \mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{full}})$$

 $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the *time-axis*  $\mathbb{W}$ , the *signal space*  $\mathbb{L}$ , the *latent variable space* 

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{full}} \subseteq (\mathbb{W} imes \mathbb{L})^{\mathbb{T}} :$$
the full behavior

**Recall the definitions:** 

A dynamical system with latent variables =

$$\Sigma_L = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{W}, \mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{full}})$$

 $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the *time-axis* (= the set of relevant time instances). W, the *signal space* (= the variables that the model aims at). L, the *latent variable space* (= auxiliary modeling variables).

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{full}} \subseteq (\mathbb{W} imes \mathbb{L})^{\mathbb{T}}$$
 : the full behavior

(= the pairs  $(w, \ell) : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{W} imes \mathbb{L}$ 

that the model declares possible).

## The manifest behavior

Call the elements of W *'manifest' variables* ,

those of  $\mathbb{L}$  *'latent' variables* .

The latent variable system  $\Sigma_L = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{W}, \mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{B}_{full})$  induces the *manifest system*  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{B})$ , with *manifest behavior* 

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{ w : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{W} \mid \exists \ \boldsymbol{\ell} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{L} \text{ such that } (w, \boldsymbol{\ell}) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{full}} \}$$

## The manifest behavior

Call the elements of  $\mathbb{W}$  *'manifest' variables*,

those of  $\mathbb{L}$  *'latent' variables* .

The latent variable system  $\Sigma_L = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{W}, \mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{B}_{full})$  induces the *manifest system*  $\Sigma = (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{B})$ , with *manifest behavior* 

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{ w : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{W} \mid \exists \ \boldsymbol{\ell} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{L} \text{ such that } (w, \boldsymbol{\ell}) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{full}} \}$$

In convenient equations for  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the latent variables are *'eliminated'*. But how do these equations look like, and how are they obtained?



Given mathematical structure for  $\mathfrak{B}_{full}$ ,

what mathematical structure for 23 emerges?

1. The projection of a linear subspace is again a linear subspace.

# **Examples**

**1.** The projection of a linear subspace is again a linear subspace.

2. The projection of an algebraic variety is, in general, <u>not</u> an algebraic variety:

$$egin{aligned} &w_1^2+w_2^2+\ell^2=1 \ & o \ w_1^2+w_2^2\leq 1 \ & w*\ell=1 \ & o \ w
eq 0 \end{aligned}$$

# **Examples**

1. The projection of a linear subspace is again a linear subspace.

2. The projection of an algebraic variety is, in general, <u>not</u> an algebraic variety:

3. How about the projection of (the sol'n set of) a smooth differential equation?

$$f(w, rac{d}{dt}w, \dots, rac{d^{\mathrm{n}}}{dt^{\mathrm{n}}}w, \ell, rac{d}{dt}\ell, \dots, rac{d^{\mathrm{n}}}{dt^{\mathrm{n}}}\ell) = 0$$

Again a differential eq'n ??

# Examples

1. The projection of a linear subspace is again a linear subspace.

2. The projection of an algebraic variety is, in general, <u>not</u> an algebraic variety:

3. How about the projection of (the sol'n set of) a smooth differential equation?

4. How about the projection of a constant coefficient linear differential equation?

$$R(rac{d}{dt})w=M(rac{d}{dt})\ell$$

¿¿ Again a constant coefficient linear differential eq'n ??

## **Elimination**

First principle models  $\rightarrow$  latent variables. For systems described by linear constant coefficient ODE's:  $\rightarrow$ 

$$\overline{R(\frac{d}{dt})\boldsymbol{w}} = M(\frac{d}{dt})\boldsymbol{\ell}$$

with  $R, M \in \mathbb{R}^{ullet imes ullet}[\xi].$ 

## **Elimination**

First principle models  $\rightarrow$  latent variables. For systems described by linear constant coefficient ODE's:  $\rightarrow$ 

$$R(\frac{d}{dt}) \boldsymbol{w} = M(\frac{d}{dt}) \boldsymbol{\ell}$$

with  $R, M \in \mathbb{R}^{ullet imes ullet}[m{\xi}].$ 

This is <u>the</u> natural model class to start a study of finite dimensional linear time-invariant systems! Much more so than

$$\frac{d}{dt} \boldsymbol{x} = A \boldsymbol{x} + B \boldsymbol{u}, \ \ \boldsymbol{y} = C \boldsymbol{x} + D \boldsymbol{u}.$$

Is it(s manifest behavior) also a differential system ??

Consider  $R(\frac{d}{dt})w = M(\frac{d}{dt})\ell$ .

Is it(s manifest behavior) also a differential system ??

Consider 
$$R(\frac{d}{dt})w = M(\frac{d}{dt})\ell$$
.  
Full behavior:

 $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{full}} = \{(w, \ell) \in \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{w+\ell}) \mid \cdots \}.$ 

belongs to  $\mathfrak{L}_n^{w+\ell}$ , by definition.

#### Its manifest behavior equals

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{ w \in \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{w}) \mid \exists \ \ell \text{ such that } \cdots \}.$$

Does  $\mathfrak{B}$  belong to  $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathtt{W}}$  ?

Does  $\mathfrak B$  belong to  $\mathfrak L^{\scriptscriptstyle {\mathbb W}}$  ?

Theorem: It does!

**<u>Proof</u>: The 'fundamental principle'.** 

Does  $\mathfrak B$  belong to  $\mathfrak L^{\scriptscriptstyle {\mathbb W}}$  ?

Theorem: It does!

#### **Proof:** The 'fundamental principle'.

The fundamental principle (for PDE's) states that

$$F(rac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\cdots,rac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mathrm{n}}})oldsymbol{x}=oldsymbol{y}$$

 $F\in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 imes n_2}[\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n], y\in \mathfrak{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$  is solvable for  $x\in\mathfrak{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,R^{n_2})$  iff

$$n\in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}[m{\xi}_1,\cdots,m{\xi}_{ ext{n}}]\wedge n^ op F=0 \hspace{1em} \Rightarrow \hspace{1em} n^ op (rac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\cdots,rac{\partial}{\partial x_{ ext{n}}})y=0.$$

#### **Example: Consider once again our electrical RLC - circuit:**



**!!** Model the relation between manifest V and I **!!** 







The circuit graph

Introduce the latent variables:

the voltage across and the current in each branch:  $V_{R_C}, I_{R_C}, V_C, I_C, V_{R_L}, I_{R_L}, V_L, I_L$ .

## **System equations**

**Constitutive equations (CE):** 

$$V_{R_C} = R_C I_{R_C}, \ V_{R_L} = R_L I_{R_L}, \ C \frac{d}{dt} V_C = I_C, \ L \frac{d}{dt} I_L = V_L$$

Kirchhoff's voltage laws (KVL):

 $V = V_{R_C} + V_C, V = V_L + V_{R_L}, V_{R_C} + V_C = V_L + V_{R_L}$ 

Kirchhoff's current laws (KCL):

 $I = I_{R_C} + I_L, \ I_{R_C} = I_C, \ I_L = I_{R_L}, \ I_C + I_{R_L} = I$ 

After elimination, we obtain the following explicit differential equation the between V and I:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Case 1}:} & CR_C \neq \frac{L}{R_L}.\\ & (\frac{R_C}{R_L} + (1 + \frac{R_C}{R_L})CR_C\frac{d}{dt} + CR_C\frac{L}{R_L}\frac{d^2}{dt^2})V\\ & = (1 + CR_C\frac{d}{dt})(1 + \frac{L}{R_L}\frac{d}{dt})R_CI. \end{array}$ 

After elimination, we obtain the following explicit differential equation the between V and I:

These are the <u>exact</u> relations between V and I !

 $\rightarrow$  15 behavioral equations.

Include both the port and the branch voltages and currents.

 $\rightarrow$  15 behavioral equations.

Include both the port and the branch voltages and currents.

Why can the port behavior be described by a system of linear constant coefficient differential equations?

 $\rightarrow$  15 behavioral equations.

Include both the port and the branch voltages and currents.

Why can the port behavior be described by a system of linear constant coefficient differential equations?

#### Because:

 The CE's, KVL, & KCL are all linear constant coefficient differential equations.
 The elimination theorem<sup>†</sup>.

<sup>†</sup> capacitor  $\rightarrow \frac{1}{Cs}$ , inductor  $\rightarrow Ls$ , series, parallel, may give erroneous results

 $\rightarrow$  15 behavioral equations.

Include both the port and the branch voltages and currents.

Why can the port behavior be described by a system of linear constant coefficient differential equations?

#### Because:

 The CE's, KVL, & KCL are all linear constant coefficient differential equations.
 The elimination theorem<sup>†</sup>.

Why is there exactly one equation? Passivity!

# 

Elimination  $\Rightarrow$  fewer, higher order equations.

- Number of equations after elimination (constant coeff. lin. ODE's) Sumber of variables.
- ► ∃ effective computer algebra/Gröbner bases type algorithms for elimination

 $(R,M)\mapsto R'$ 

Number of equations after elimination (constant coeff. lin. ODE's) Sumber of variables.

► ∃ effective computer algebra/Gröbner bases type algorithms for elimination

Depends on sol'n smoothness!

 $\nexists$  elimination theorem on  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$  with compact support):

$$rac{d}{dt}w=f ~~ 
ightarrow ~~ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}w(t){\,}dt=0$$

- Number of equations after elimination (constant coeff. lin. ODE's) Sumber of variables.
- ► ∃ effective computer algebra/Gröbner bases type algorithms for elimination
- Depends on sol'n smoothness!
- Not generalizable to smooth nonlinear systems. Why are differential equations models so prevalent?

- Number of equations after elimination (constant coeff. lin. ODE's) Sumber of variables.
- ► ∃ effective computer algebra/Gröbner bases type algorithms for elimination
- Depends on sol'n smoothness!
- ► Not generalizable to smooth nonlinear systems.
- ► Generalizable to linear constant coefficient PDE's.

# **Example: Maxwell's equations**



$$egin{aligned} 
abla \cdot ec{E} &=& rac{1}{arepsilon_0} 
ho \,, \ 
abla & imes ec{E} &=& -rac{\partial}{\partial t} ec{B}, \ 
abla & imes ec{B} &=& 0 \,, \ c^2 
abla imes ec{B} &=& rac{1}{arepsilon_0} ec{j} + rac{\partial}{\partial t} ec{E}. \end{aligned}$$

## **Example: Maxwell's equations**



$$egin{aligned} 
abla \cdot ec{E} &=& rac{1}{arepsilon_0} 
ho \,, \ 
abla & imes ec{E} &=& -rac{\partial}{\partial t} ec{B} \,, \ 
abla & imes ec{B} &=& 0 \,, \ 
abla \cdot ec{B} &=& 0 \,, \ 
abla \cdot ec{B} &=& rac{1}{arepsilon_0} ec{j} + rac{\partial}{\partial t} ec{E} \,. \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} imes \mathbb{R}^3$  (time and space),  $w = (\vec{E}, \vec{B}, \vec{j}, 
ho)$ 

(electric field, magnetic field, current density, charge density),  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

 $\mathfrak{B} = \mathsf{set}$  of solutions to these PDE's.

## **Example: Maxwell's equations**



$$egin{aligned} 
abla \cdot ec{E} &=& rac{1}{arepsilon_0} 
ho \,, \ 
abla & imes ec{E} &=& -rac{\partial}{\partial t} ec{B}, \ 
abla & imes ec{B} &=& 0 \,, \ 
abla \cdot ec{B} &=& 0 \,, \ 
abla \cdot ec{B} &=& rac{1}{arepsilon_0} ec{j} + rac{\partial}{\partial t} ec{E}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} imes \mathbb{R}^3$  (time and space),  $w = (\vec{E}, \vec{B}, \vec{j}, 
ho)$ 

(electric field, magnetic field, current density, charge density),  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

 $\mathfrak{B} = \mathsf{set}$  of solutions to these PDE's.

<u>Note</u>: 10 variables, 8 equations!  $\Rightarrow \exists$  free variables.

# Which PDE's describe $(\rho, \vec{E}, \vec{j})$ in Maxwell's equations ?

# Which PDE's describe $(\rho, \vec{E}, \vec{j})$ in Maxwell's equations ?

# Eliminate $\vec{B}$ from Maxwell's equations

# Which PDE's describe ( $ho, ec{E}, ec{j}$ ) in Maxwell's equations ?

# Eliminate $\vec{B}$ from Maxwell's equations $\rightsquigarrow$



# Which PDE's describe ( $ho, ec{E}, ec{j}$ ) in Maxwell's equations ?

# Eliminate $\vec{B}$ from Maxwell's equations $\rightsquigarrow$



Elimination theorem  $\Rightarrow$ 

this exercise is exact & successful (+ gives algorithm).

It follows from all this that  $\mathfrak{L}^{\bullet}$  has very nice properties. It is closed under:

- Addition:  $(\mathfrak{B}_1,\mathfrak{B}_2\in\mathfrak{L}^{w})\Rightarrow(\mathfrak{B}_1+\mathfrak{B}_2\in\mathfrak{L}^{w}).$
- Projection:  $(\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}^{w_1+w_2}) \Rightarrow (\Pi_{w_1}\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}^{w_1}).$
- Action of a linear differential operator:  $(\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}^{W_1}, P \in \mathbb{R}^{W_2 \times W_1}[\xi])$  $\Rightarrow (P(\frac{d}{dt})\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}^{W_2}).$
- Inverse image of a linear differential operator:  $(\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\mathbb{W}_2}, P \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{W}_2 \times \mathbb{W}_1}[\xi])$   $\Rightarrow (P(\frac{d}{dt}))^{-1}\mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\mathbb{W}_1}).$

The elimination theorem (and the related algorithms) is one of the nice, important, new problems that have emerged from the behavioral theory.

The elimination theorem (and the related algorithms) is one of the nice, important, new problems that have emerged from the behavioral theory.

Equally important as elimination is introducing convenient latent variables:

- state models, first order equations
- image representations and controllability
- ...

End of Lecture 3