



RECURSIVE COMPUTATION

OF THE MPUM

Jan C. Willems K.U. Leuven, Flanders, Belgium

Seminar M.I.T.

December 10, 2007

System identification (SYSID)





Observations: vector time-series

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots, \tilde{w}(T)) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{w}}$$

Observations: vector time-series

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots, \tilde{w}(T))$$
 $\tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{W}$

Model class: linear time-invariant systems

$$R_0 w(t) + R_1 w(t+1) + \dots + R_L w(t+L) = 0$$

Usually

$$R_0 w(t) + R_1 w(t+1) + \dots + R_L w(t+L)$$

= $M_0 \varepsilon(t) + M_1 \varepsilon(t+1) + \dots + M_L \varepsilon(t+L)$

 ε 's: random variables to account for unobserved inputs, measurement noise, modeling errors, etc.

Observations: vector time-series

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots, \tilde{w}(T))$$
 $\tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{W}$

Model class: linear time-invariant systems

$$R_0 w(t) + R_1 w(t+1) + \dots + R_L w(t+L) = 0$$

Usually

$$R_0 w(t) + R_1 w(t+1) + \dots + R_L w(t+L)$$

= $M \varepsilon(t) \times M_1 \varepsilon(t+1) + \dots \times M_L \varepsilon(t+L)$

 ε 's: random variables to account for unobserved inputs, measurement noise, modeling errors, etc.

Observations: vector time-series

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots, \tilde{w}(T)) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{w}}$$

We consider the simple case:

- 1. $T = \infty$
- 2. exact, deterministic, modeling

(with an eye to approximation)

3. linear, time-invariant, controllable systems



Linear time-invariant dynamical systems described by difference equations

A (deterministic) dynamical system is a subset

 $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{w}}
ight)^{\mathbb{N}}$

the family of time series $\mathscr{B} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^w)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called the *behavior* of the model

 \mathscr{B} belongs to the model class $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{W}}$: \Leftrightarrow

- ℬ is linear, shift-invariant, and closed
- **9** *B* is linear, time-invariant, and complete

: \Leftrightarrow 'prefix determined'

■ \exists matrices R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_L such that

 $\mathscr{B} = \operatorname{all} w : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{w}$ that satisfy

$$R_0 w(t) + R_1 w(t+1) + \dots + R_L w(t+L) = 0 \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{N}$$

In the obvious polynomial matrix notation

$$R(\sigma)w = 0$$
 $\sigma := \text{ left shift}$

 \mathscr{B} belongs to the model class $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{W}}$: \Leftrightarrow

- ℬ is linear, shift-invariant, and closed
- ℬ is linear, time-invariant, and complete
- $R(\sigma)w = 0$, including input/output partition \sim

 $P(\sigma)y = Q(\sigma)u$, $w \cong \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ det $(P) \neq 0, P^{-1}Q$ proper

 \mathscr{B} belongs to the model class $\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{W}}$: \Leftrightarrow

- B is linear, shift-invariant, and closed
- ℬ is linear, time-invariant, and complete

$$P R(\sigma)w = 0$$

$$P(\sigma)y = Q(\sigma)u \quad w \cong \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$



$$x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \ y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t) \quad w \cong \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

Given the observed (infinite-horizon) time-series

 $\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{w}}$

The model $\mathscr{B} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{w})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is unfalsified $:\Leftrightarrow \tilde{w} \in \mathscr{B}$

 $\mathscr{B}_1 \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{w})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is more powerful than $\mathscr{B}_2 \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{w})^{\mathbb{N}} :\Leftrightarrow \mathscr{B}_1 \subset \mathscr{B}_2$

The more a model forbids, the better it is



Str Karl Popper (1902-1994)

Karl Popper (1902-1994)

Given the observed (infinite-horizon) time-series

 $\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{w}$

The model $\mathscr{B} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{w})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is unfalsified $:\Leftrightarrow \tilde{w} \in \mathscr{B}$

 $\mathscr{B}_1 \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{w})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is more powerful than $\mathscr{B}_2 \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{w})^{\mathbb{N}} :\Leftrightarrow \mathscr{B}_1 \subset \mathscr{B}_2$

The most powerful unfalsified model (MPUM) in \mathscr{L}^{w}

 $\begin{array}{l} := \text{the model in } \mathscr{L}^{w} \\ \text{ that explains the observations } & \sim \tilde{w} \in \mathscr{B} \quad \text{`unfalsified'} \\ + \text{ as little else as possible } & \sim \quad \text{`most powerful'} \end{array}$

The MPUM = the smallest unfalsified model in \mathscr{L}^{w}



Does the MPUM in \mathscr{L}^{w} **exist?**

The MPUM in $\mathscr{L}^{\mathtt{w}}$

MPUM = $(\operatorname{span}\{\tilde{w}, \sigma\tilde{w}, \sigma^2\tilde{w}, \ldots\})^{\operatorname{closure}}$

The MPUM in $\mathscr{L}^{\mathtt{w}}$

MPUM =
$$(\operatorname{span}\{\tilde{w}, \sigma\tilde{w}, \sigma^2\tilde{w}, \ldots\})^{\operatorname{closure}}$$

Our pbm: Given the observed (infinite horizon) time-series

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{w}$$

compute the MPUM in \mathscr{L}^{\mathsf{w}} that generated the data.

This is what is meant by 'exact' modeling

The MPUM in \mathscr{L}^{w}

MPUM =
$$(\operatorname{span}\{\tilde{w}, \sigma\tilde{w}, \sigma^2\tilde{w}, \ldots\})^{\operatorname{closure}}$$

Our pbm: Given the observed (infinite horizon) time-series

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{W}$$

compute the MPUM in \mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{W}} that generated the data.

'Exact', 'deterministic' system ID (with an eye toward approximation).

Note that classical realization theory is a special case

The annihilators

Observations

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{W}$$

Look through the window



for annihilators

$$r_0 \tilde{w}(t) + r_1 \tilde{w}(t+1) + \dots + r_\Delta \tilde{w}(t+\Delta) = 0, \quad r_k \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times w}$$

 \rightsquigarrow **`annihilator'** $n(\xi) = r_0 + r_1 \xi + \dots + r_\Delta \xi^\Delta \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]^{1 \times w}$

Observations

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{W}$$

Look through the window for annihilators

$$r_0 \tilde{w}(t) + r_1 \tilde{w}(t+1) + \dots + r_\Delta \tilde{w}(t+\Delta) = 0, \quad r_k \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times w}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 'annihilator' $n(\xi) = r_0 + r_1 \xi + \dots + r_\Delta \xi^\Delta \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]^{1 \times w}$

Collect 'all' the annihilators into $R = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_p \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R} [\xi]^{p \times w}$ $\sim MPUM \qquad R(\sigma)w = 0$

Given

$$\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \dots, \tilde{w}(t), \dots) \qquad \tilde{w}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{w}},$$

find 'all' $R_0, R_1, \ldots R_L$ such that $R(\sigma)\tilde{w} = 0$, i.e.



That is how the 'Hankel matrix of the data' emerges

Compute the left kernel of the data Hankel matrix



∞-dimensional ...

The module of left annihilators

Identify elements of the left kernel with vector polynomials

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \cdots a_\Delta & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'') & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+1) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(3) & \tilde{w}(4) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+2) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(t') & \tilde{w}(t'+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\cong \quad \underline{a(\xi)} = a_0 + a_1 \xi + \dots + a_\Delta \xi^\Delta \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]^{1 \times w}$$

Closed under addition

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & \cdots & a_\Delta & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{w}(1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'') & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+1) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+2) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(t') & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \end{split} = 0$$

Closed under addition

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & \cdots & a_\Delta & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} b_0 & \cdots & b_\Delta & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{w}(1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'') & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+1) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+2) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(t') & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \end{split} = 0$$

Closed under addition

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & \cdots & a_\Delta & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} b_0 & \cdots & b_\Delta & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$a_0 + b_0 \cdots a_\Delta + b_\Delta & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{w}(1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'') & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+1) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+2) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(t') & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \end{split} = 0$$

and under shifting

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_\Delta & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'') & \cdots & \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+1) & \cdots & \\ \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+2) & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(t') & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1) & \cdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and under shifting
$$\tilde{w}(1) \cdots \tilde{w}(t'')$$
 $[a_0 \ a_1 \cdots a_{\Delta} \ 0 \ 0 \cdots]$ $\tilde{w}(2) \cdots \tilde{w}(t''+1)$ ψ $\tilde{w}(3) \cdots \tilde{w}(t''+2)$ $[0 \ a_0 \cdots a_{\Delta-1} \ a_{\Delta} \ 0 \cdots]$ $\tilde{w}(t') \cdots \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1)$ $\tilde{w}(t') \cdots \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1)$ $\tilde{w}(t') \cdots \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1)$ $\tilde{w}(t') \cdots \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1)$

. . .

. . .

•

• • •

•.

= 0

and under shifting

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{\Delta} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'') & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+1) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+2) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(t') & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

 $a(\xi) = a_0 + a_1\xi + \dots + a_\Delta\xi^\Delta \in \text{left kernel}$ $b(\xi) = b_0 + b_1\xi + \dots + b_\Delta\xi^\Delta \in \text{left kernel}$

 \Rightarrow both $a(\xi) + b(\xi)$ and $\xi a(\xi) \in$ left kernel

 $a, b \in \mathbb{R}\left[\xi\right]^{1 imes w}$

 $a(\xi) = a_0 + a_1 \xi + \dots + a_\Delta \xi^\Delta \in$ left kernel $b(\xi) = b_0 + b_1 \xi + \dots + b_\Delta \xi^\Delta \in$ left kernel

 \Rightarrow both $a(\xi) + b(\xi)$ and $\xi a(\xi) \in$ left kernel

 \Rightarrow The left kernel is an $\mathbb{R}[\xi]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{R}[\xi]^{1 \times w}$

and is therefore finitely generated: \exists annihilators $a(\xi), b(\xi), \cdots, z(\xi)$ that yield all other annihilators under shifting and +

 \cong Left kernel is effectively finite dimensional ! (dimension $\leq w$)



$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 MPUM $R(\sigma)w = 0$

How can we a module basis of the left kernel?

Recursive computation of the generators

$\tilde{w} \mapsto \mathbf{left} \ \mathbf{kernel}$

Suppose we found a left annihilator of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(\Delta) & \tilde{w}(\Delta+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+\Delta-1) & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Does this simplify finding other left annihilators of


<u>Lemma</u>: $R(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times w}[\xi]$ left prime $\Rightarrow \exists E(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{(w-p) \times w}[\xi]$:



$R \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]^{\bullet \times \bullet} \text{ is } \frac{\text{left prime}}{R = FR'} \text{ as a polynomial matrix}$ $:\Leftrightarrow R = FR' \Rightarrow F \text{ unimodular}$

 $\Leftrightarrow R(\lambda) \text{ has full row rank } \forall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ $\Leftrightarrow R(\sigma)w = 0 \text{ defines a controllable system}$ $\Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ a unimodular completion}$

Controllability is used here in the behavioral sense.



Behavioral controllability of a dynamical system

Controllability is used here in the behavioral sense.





<u>Lemma</u>: $R(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times w}[\xi]$ left prime $\Rightarrow \exists E(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{(w-p) \times w}[\xi]$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R(\xi) \\ E(\xi) \end{bmatrix} \text{ is unimodular}$$

Example: $p = 1, w = 2,$

$$R(\xi) = [r_1(\xi) \ r_2(\xi)]$$

$$E(\xi) = [-y(\xi) \ x(\xi)] \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & r_2 \\ -y & x \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{E}) \end{bmatrix}$

Given $r_1(\xi), r_2(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]$, find $x(\xi), y(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]$:

 $r_1(\xi)x(\xi) + r_2(\xi)y(\xi) = 1$ Bézout

Solvable iff r_1, r_2 coprime, \exists algorithms, etc.

<u>Lemma</u>: $R(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times w}[\xi]$ left prime $\Rightarrow \exists E(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{(w-p) \times w}[\xi]$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R(\xi) \\ E(\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$
 is unimodular

Equivalent proposition:

For a given $\mathscr{B} \in \mathscr{L}^{w}$, there exists $\mathscr{B}' \in \mathscr{L}^{w}$ such that

$$\mathscr{B} \oplus \mathscr{B}' = (\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{w}})^{\mathbb{N}}$$

iff \mathscr{B} is controllable.

Controllability - assumed where needed

<u>Lemma</u>: $R(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times w}[\xi]$ left prime $\Rightarrow \exists E(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{(w-p) \times w}[\xi]$:











Yields a second annihilator $b(\xi)E_a(\xi)$

Complete $b \sim E_b$, compute $\tilde{\tilde{e}} = E_b(\sigma)\tilde{e}$, find annihilator c

Yields a third annihilator $c(\xi)E_b(\xi)E_a(\xi)$

Recursively,

 \sim annihilators $a, bE_a, cE_bE_a, \ldots, zE_y \cdots E_bE_a$

 $\Rightarrow \quad \mbox{a module basis of the left kernel} \\ \quad \mbox{obtained by computing p times a left kernel vector.}$

Recursively,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{w} &\mapsto a(\xi) \mapsto E_a(\xi) \ &\mapsto \tilde{e}_{E_a} \mapsto b(\xi) \mapsto E_b(\xi) \ &\vdots \ &\mapsto \tilde{e}_{E_y} \mapsto z(\xi) \end{split}$$

 \sim annihilators $a, bE_a, cE_bE_a, \ldots, zE_y \cdots E_bE_a$

 $\Rightarrow \quad \mbox{a module basis of the left kernel} \\ \quad \mbox{obtained by computing p times a left kernel vector.}$

Amenable to approximate LA SVD LS implementation

From data to state



Go directly from an external trajectory

 $\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \ldots, \tilde{w}(t), \ldots$

to the corresponding MPUM state trajectory

 $\tilde{x}(1), \tilde{x}(2), \ldots, \tilde{x}(t), \ldots$

Effective in SYSID ~> **Subspace ID**

Subspace ID

$$\widetilde{w}(1), \widetilde{w}(2), \dots, \widetilde{w}(t), \dots$$

$$\widetilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{x}(1), \widetilde{x}(2), \dots, \widetilde{x}(t), \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow$$
row reduce \widetilde{X}

$$\downarrow$$
LS solve
$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{x}(2) \quad \widetilde{x}(3) \quad \cdots \quad \widetilde{x}(t+1) \quad \cdots \\ \widetilde{y}(1) \quad \widetilde{y}(2) \quad \cdots \quad \widetilde{y}(t) \quad \cdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{x}(1) \quad \widetilde{x}(2) \quad \cdots \quad \widetilde{x}(t) \quad \cdots \\ \widetilde{u}(1) \quad \widetilde{u}(2) \quad \cdots \quad \widetilde{u}(t) \quad \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow$$
model $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{A}{C} & B \\ D \end{bmatrix}$



Go directly from an external trajectory

 $\tilde{w}(1), \tilde{w}(2), \ldots, \tilde{w}(t), \ldots$

to the corresponding MPUM state trajectory

 $\tilde{x}(1), \tilde{x}(2), \ldots, \tilde{x}(t), \ldots$

How does this work? Nice system theoretic question!

 $\widetilde{w} \mapsto \widetilde{x}$

Henceforth, assume Δ sufficiently large.

or

Can we somehow identify, directly from the data, the map



There are many algorithms that do this. We discuss two.

From data to state by past/future intersection

$\tilde{w} \mapsto \tilde{x}$ by past/future intersection



$\tilde{w} \mapsto \tilde{x}$ by past/future intersection



Intersection of spans of rows of \mathcal{H}_{-} and \mathcal{H}_{+} = state space. The common linear combinations

$$\tilde{x}(\Delta+1)$$
 $\tilde{x}(\Delta+2)$ \cdots $\tilde{x}(t+\Delta)$ \cdots \leftarrow **'PRESENT' STATE**

State = what is common between past and future.

Stochastic versions

This past/future idea is used effectively, also for ARMAX systems, in 'subspace ID'



From data to state using the left annihilators

 $\tilde{w} \mapsto \tilde{x}$ via left annihilators

Compute 'the' left annihilators of the Hankel matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_1 & N_2 & \cdots & N_\Delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \tilde{w}(\Delta) & \tilde{w}(\Delta+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+\Delta-1) & \cdots \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

 $\tilde{w} \mapsto \tilde{x}$ via left annihilators

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{1} & N_{2} & \cdots & N_{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(\Delta) & \tilde{w}(\Delta+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+\Delta-1) & \cdots \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
Then
$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{2} & N_{3} & \cdots & N_{\Delta} & 0 \\ N_{3} & N_{4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ N_{\Delta-1} & N_{\Delta} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ N_{\Delta} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(\Delta) & \tilde{w}(\Delta+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+\Delta-1) & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

0

 $N_{\Delta} \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0$

Then

N

N

 N_{Δ}

Ν

 $\tilde{w} \mapsto \tilde{x}$ via left annihilators

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}(1) & \tilde{x}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{x}(t) & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}(1) & \tilde{x}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{x}(t) & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(\Delta) & \tilde{w}(\Delta+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+\Delta-1) & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

↑↑↑ **'shift-and-cut'**



Paolo Rapisarda

Subspace ID and the module of annihilators

State construction via the generators

Generators



State construction via the generators

			$[a_0$	a_1	•••	a_{n_1}					
Generators			$[b_0$	b_1	• • •	• • •	$b_{\mathtt{n}_2}]$				
					• •						
			$[z_0$	z_1	•••	• • •	•••	$z_{n_p}]$			
Then	_							_			
	a_1	•••	a_{n_1-1}	$a_{\mathtt{n}_1}$	0]					
	a_2		$a_{\mathtt{n}_1}$	0	0		$\left[\tilde{z}(1)\right]$	$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{2})$		$\tilde{a}(\mathbf{A})$	1
	:	:::	:	:	:	:	$\begin{bmatrix} \chi(1) \end{bmatrix}$	$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Z})$	•••	X(l)	••••]
	•		•	•	•	•	_		=		_
	u_{n_1}	U		0	U		$\tilde{w}(1)$	$ ilde{w}(2)$	•••	$ ilde{w}(t)$	
			:	:	•		$\tilde{w}(2)$	$\tilde{w}(3)$	•••	$\tilde{w}(t+1)$	
	z_1				z_{n_p-1}	Znp	$\tilde{w}(3)$	$ ilde{w}(4)$	•••	$\tilde{w}(t+2)$	
	z_2				Znp	0		•	•	•	
	:	•••	:	÷		:	\mathbf{k} $\tilde{w}(c_{n_p})$	$\tilde{w}(c_{n_p}+1)$	• ···	$\dot{v}(t+c_{n_p}-1)$	
	Znp	0			0	0					

State construction via the generators

Then

$\int a_1$		a_{n_1-1}	$a_{\mathtt{n}_1}$	0]	
<i>a</i> ₂		$a_{\mathtt{n}_1}$	0	0		$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(1) = \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(2) = \cdots = \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(t) = \cdots$
		•	:	÷	:	$\begin{bmatrix} x(1) & x(2) & \cdots & x(l) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots &$
a_{n_1}	0		0	0		$= \int \tilde{v}(1) \tilde{v}(2) \tilde{v}(t)$
:	:::	:	:	:	:	$\widetilde{w}(1)$ $\widetilde{w}(2)$ \cdots $\widetilde{w}(t)$ \cdots $\widetilde{w}(2)$ $\widetilde{w}(3)$ \cdots $\widetilde{w}(t+1)$ \cdots
• Z1	•••	•	•	• Zn1	Zn-	$\widetilde{w}(3)$ $\widetilde{w}(4)$ \cdots $\widetilde{w}(t+2)$ \cdots
				Znp	0	
:	:::	:	:		:	$\begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \tilde{w}(c_{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}}) & \tilde{w}(c_{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}}+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+c_{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}}-1) & \cdots \end{vmatrix}$
• 7n	0	•	•	•		

Combined with 'shortest lag' conditions \rightsquigarrow minimal state.

Summary

Summary



Summary

State from data:

$$\widetilde{w}(1), \widetilde{w}(2), \dots, \widetilde{w}(t), \dots$$

 \downarrow
 $\widetilde{x}(1), \widetilde{x}(2), \dots, \widetilde{x}(t), \dots$

via left kernel of the data Hankel matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'') & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t''+1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(t') & \tilde{w}(t'+1) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t'+t''-1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a **module** of dimension $\leq w$ its generators lead to the state via **shift-and-cut**



Assume a, b, \dots, z basis of left-annihilator module

a_1	•••• (a_{n_1-1}	$a_{\mathtt{n}_1}$	Ο.	••]
a_2	•••	$a_{\mathtt{n}_1}$	0	ο.	
:		:	:	:	:
$a_{\mathtt{n}_1}$	0	•••	0	ο.	•••
÷		:	:	:	:
z_1	•••	••••	•••	$z_{n_p-1} z_{n_p}$	n _p
<i>z</i> ₂	•••	•••	•••	Znp	0
÷		:	:	:	•
Z_{n_p}	0	••••	•••	0	0

$\left[\tilde{x}(1) \right]$	$\tilde{x}(2)$ ·	•••	$ ilde{x}(t)$]
		—		
$\int \tilde{w}(1)$	$\tilde{w}(2)$	•••	$ ilde{w}(t)$	••••
$\tilde{w}(2)$	$\tilde{w}(3)$	• • •	$\tilde{w}(t+1)$	l)
$\tilde{w}(3)$	$ ilde{w}(4)$	• • •	$\tilde{w}(t+2)$	2)
•	• • •	• •	• •	
$\tilde{w}(c_{n_p})$	$\tilde{w}(c_{n_p}+1)$	$) \cdot \tilde{w}$	$(t + c_{n_p})$	$-1)\cdots$



The left kernel can be computed recursively by repeated use of the completion lemma and error propagation



The left kernel can be computed recursively by repeated use of the completion lemma and error propagation

Completion Lemma:

Given $R(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times w}[\xi]$ left prime, compute $E(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{(w-p) \times w}[\xi]$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R(\xi) \\ E(\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$
 is unimodular



The left kernel can be computed recursively by repeated use of the completion lemma and error propagation

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}(1) & \tilde{w}(2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t) & \cdots \\ \tilde{w}(2) & \tilde{w}(3) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{w}(n_1+1) & \tilde{w}(n_1+2) & \cdots & \tilde{w}(t+n_1) & \cdots \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Complete $a(\xi) \mapsto E_a(\xi)$, compute the 'error' $\tilde{e} = E_a(\sigma)\tilde{w}$, replace \tilde{w} by \tilde{e} , and proceed recursively, until 'presistency of excitation'


The left kernel can be computed recursively by repeated use of the completion lemma and error propagation

Requires computing p vectors in kernel of Hankel matrix of the 'errors'. This error time series decreases in dimension at each step.

Can be executed using numerical LA. Adapted to approximate computations. Extensions to *T* finite, etc.

Note the crucial role played by the module structure!

Details & copies of the lecture frames are available from/at

Jan.Willems@esat.kuleuven.be

http://www.esat.kuleuven.be/~jwillems

Details & copies of the lecture frames are available from/at

Jan.Willems@esat.kuleuven.be

http://www.esat.kuleuven.be/~jwillems

