# Least-squares Globally Optimal Misfit Modelling for SISO Systems 41st Benelux Meeting on Systems and Control

Sibren Lagauw sibren.lagauw@esat.kuleuven.be Bart De Moor, *Fellow IEEE, SIAM* bart.demoor@esat.kuleuven.be

Center for Dynamical Systems, Signal Processing, and Data Analytics (STADIUS), Department of Electrical Engineering (ESAT), KU Leuven, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

July 5, 2022



### Table of Contents

#### Introduction

2 / 14

Autonomous misfit modelling

SISO misfit modelling





### Misfit modelling



with  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, ..., n$  the coefficients of b(z)/a(z).



# Misfit modelling: context

• Special case within misfit vs. latency framework (Lemmerling and De Moor [4])



- Subspace identification (Van Overschee and De Moor [6])
  - Linear algebra framework (QR, SVD)
  - Identification: Kalman state estimation + linear least-squares
- Behavioural systems theory (Roorda [5], Willems [7])
  - Set of difference equations  $\rightarrow$  behaviour  $\mathcal{B}$ :  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{B}, \ \tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{B}^{\perp}$
  - Identification: (Structured) total least squares (De Moor [2])





## Misfit modelling: methodology

• Inner problem: find optimal misfit  $ilde{m{y}}^*$  for given model and data

- Linear problem  $\rightarrow$  orthogonal projection
- Optimal misfit is structured
- Outer problem: find optimal model  $(a, b)^*$  for given data
  - Substitute **y**<sup>\*</sup> in objective function
  - Characterise optima  $\rightarrow$  system of multivariate polynomial eqs.
  - Solve the polynomial root-finding problem





#### Table of Contents

Introduction

Autonomous misfit modelling

SISO misfit modelling







# Autonomous misfit modelling<sup>1</sup>



$$\min_{\substack{a,\hat{y} \\ s.t.}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (y_k - \hat{y}_k)^2,$$
  
s.t.  $\hat{y} a(z) = 0.$ 

• LS-criterion implies orthogonality:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (y_k - \hat{y}_k)^2 \text{ is minimal } \iff \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \perp \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}$$

<sup>1</sup>See (De Moor [3]) for an in-depth discussion.



### Autonomous misfit modelling (inner)

• Canonical state-space realization  $(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{C})$  of  $\boldsymbol{a}(z)$ :

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & -a_{n-1} & \dots & \dots & -a_1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

•  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N \times n)}$  is backward shift-invariant:

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$$

with 
$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{C}^{\mathsf{T}}, \, (\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, (\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{A}^{N-1})^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \mathsf{range}\left( \mathcal{O} 
ight) \right|$$





# Autonomous misfit modelling (inner)

 $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n} & 0 & \ddots & 0\\ a_{n-1} & a_{n} & \ddots & 0\\ \vdots & a_{n-1} & \ddots & \vdots\\ a_{1} & \vdots & \ddots & a_{n}\\ 1 & a_{1} & \ddots & a_{n-1}\\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & a_{1}\\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N \times N - n)}$   $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 0 \text{ and } \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \text{range}(\mathcal{O})$   $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 0 \text{ and } \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \text{range}(\mathcal{O})$   $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 0 \text{ and } \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \text{range}(\mathcal{O})$   $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 0 \text{ and } \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \text{range}(\mathcal{O})$ 

range  $(\mathbf{T}_{a}) \oplus$  range  $(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{R}^{N}$  with range  $(\mathbf{T}_{a}) \perp$  range  $(\mathcal{O})$  $\Rightarrow \boxed{\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{T}_{a} ((\mathbf{T}_{a})^{T} \mathbf{T}_{a})^{-1} (\mathbf{T}_{a})^{T} \mathbf{y}}$ 



# Autonomous misfit modelling (inner)

• For  $N \to \infty$ : discrete Beurling–Lax theorem<sup>2</sup>:

$$\mathsf{range}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathit{T}}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\right)\oplus\mathsf{range}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}\right)=\ell_2$$

- range (  $\mathcal{T}_a$  ) a forward shift-invariant subspace  $\mathcal M$  of  $\ell_2$
- range ( $\mathcal{O}$ ) a backward shift-invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$  of  $\ell_2$
- Continuous Beurling–Lax via isometric misfit model<sup>3</sup>:
  - Orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}_2$

10 / 14

• All-pass completion of a(z) as inner-function:

$$H(z) = \frac{a_r(z)}{a(z)} = \frac{1 + a_1 z + ... + a_n z^n}{z^n + \cdots + a_{n-1} z + a_n}$$

<sup>2</sup>The original paper by Beurling *(Beurling [1])* derives the theorem for continuous functions in  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .

 ${}^{3}Y(z)$  denotes the Z-transform of y,  $\tilde{Y}(z)$  and  $\hat{Y}(z)$  are defined similarly.

## Autonomous misfit modelling (outer)

• First-order necessary conditions for optimality:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\boldsymbol{a}} & \sigma^2 = ||\boldsymbol{\tilde{y}}||_2^2 = \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} (\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^T \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{a}_i} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \end{split}$$

is a system of n polynomial equations in n variables.

• Common roots characterize all the stationary points  $\longrightarrow$  select globally optimal solution(s)

Walsh's theorem

11 / 14



### Table of Contents

Introduction

Autonomous misfit modelling

SISO misfit modelling







# SISO misfit modelling

where:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{w}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_0 & \hat{u}_0 & \hat{y}_1 & \hat{u}_1 & \dots & \hat{y}_N & \hat{u}_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

• 
$$\boldsymbol{T_{a,b}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2N-2n \times 2N)}$$

- Treat as autonomous model of order 2n?
  - Optimal misfit:  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}} \left( (\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}})^T \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}} \right)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}})^T \boldsymbol{w}$
  - Optimal model:  $\sigma^2 = ||\tilde{w}||_2^2 = w^T T_{a,b} (T_{a,b}^T T_{a,b})^{-1} T_{a,b} w$





# Questions?







# Table of Contents I

Deamer;

- A. Beurling. On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space. Acta Mathematica, 81:239 – 255, 1949.
- [2] B. De Moor. Total least squares for affinely structured matrices and the noisy realization problem. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 42(11):3104 – 3113, 1994.
- [3] B. De Moor. Least squares optimal realisation of autonomous LTI systems is an eigenvalue problem. *Communications in Information and Systems*, 20(2):163 – 207, 2020.
- [4] P. Lemmerling and B. De Moor. Misfit versus latency. Automatica, 37:2057 2067, 2001.
- [5] B. Roorda. Algorithms for global total least square modelling of finite multivariable time series. Automatica, 1995.
- [6] P. Van Overschee and B. De Moor. Subspace Identification for Linear Systems: Theory Implementation – Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [7] J. C. Willems. From time series to linear system, Part I: Finite dimensional linear time invariant systems; Part II: Exact modelling; Part III: Approximate modelling. *Automatica*, 22/23(5,6,1):561 – 580, 675 – 694, 87 – 115, 1986, 1987.

